

Spectra of general hypergraphs

Anirban Banerjee^{1, 2}, Arnab Char¹, and Bibhash Mondal¹

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics

²Department of Biological Sciences

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Kolkata

Mohanpur-741246, India

ac13ms134@iiserkol.ac.in, bm12ip022@iiserkol.ac.in,

anirban.banerjee@iiserkol.ac.in

April 27, 2016

Abstract

Here, we show a method to reconstruct connectivity hypermatrices of a general hypergraph (without any self loop or multiple edge) using tensor. We also study the different spectral properties of these hypermatrices and find that these properties are similar for graphs and uniform hypergraphs. The representation of a connectivity hypermatrix that is proposed here can be very useful for the further development in spectral hypergraph theory.

AMS classification: 05C65, 15A18

Keywords: Hypergraph, Adjacency hypermatrix, Spectral theory of hypergraph, Laplacian Hypermatrix, normalised Laplacian

1 Introduction

Spectral graph theory has a long history behind its development. In spectral graph theory, we analyse the eigenvalues of a connectivity matrix which is uniquely defined on a graph. Many researchers have had a great interest to

study the eigenvalues of different connectivity matrices, such as, adjacency matrix, Laplacian matrix, signless Laplacian matrix, normalised Laplacian matrix, etc. Now, a recent trend has been developed to explore spectral hypergraph theory. Unlike in a graph, an edge of a hypergraph can be constructed with more than two vertices, i.e., the edge set of a hypergraph is the subset of the power set of the vertex set of that hypergraph [20]. Now, one of the main challenges is to uniquely represent a hypergraph by a connectivity hypermatrix or by a tensor, and vice versa. It is not trivial for a non-uniform hypergraph, where the cardinalities of the edges are not the same. Recently, the study of the spectrum of uniform hypergraphs becomes popular. In a $(m-)$ uniform hypergraph, each edge contains the same, (m) , number of vertices. Thus a m -uniform hypergraph of order n can be easily represented by a m order n dimensional connectivity hypermatrix (or tensor). In [7], the results on the spectrum of adjacency matrix of a graph are extended for uniform hypergraphs by using characteristic polynomial. Spectral properties of adjacency uniform hypermatrix are deduced from matroids in [14]. In 1993, Fan Chung defined Laplacian of a uniform hypergraph by considering various homological aspects of hypergraphs and studied the eigenvalues of the same [5]. In [9, 10, 16, 17, 11], different spectral properties of Laplacian and signless Laplacian of a uniform hypergraph, defined by using tensor, have been studied. In 2015, Hu and Qi introduced the normalised Laplacian of a uniform hypergraph and analysed its spectral properties [8]. The important tool that has been used in spectral hypergraph theory is tensor. In 2005, Liqun Qi introduced the different eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor [15]. The various properties of the eigenvalues of a tensor have been studied in [3, 13, 4, 21, 22, 12, 18, 19].

But, still the challenge remains to come up with a mathematical framework to construct a connectivity hypermatrix for a non-uniform hypergraph, such that, based on this connectivity hypermatrix the spectral graph theory for a general hypergraph can be developed. Here, we propose a unique representation of a general hypergraph (without any self loop or multiple edge) by connectivity hypermatrices, such as, adjacency hypermatrix, Laplacian hypermatrix, signless Laplacian hypermatrix, normalised Laplacian hypermatrix and analyse the different spectral properties of these matrices. These properties are very similar with the same for graphs and uniform hypergraphs. Studying the spectrum of a uniform hypergraphs could be considered as a special case of the spectral graph theory of general hypergraphs.

2 Preliminary

Let \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers. We consider an m order n dimensional hypermatrix A having n^m elements from \mathbb{R} , where

$$A = (a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}), a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } 1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \leq n.$$

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If we write x^m as a m order n dimension hypermatrix with i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m entry $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_m}$, then Ax^{m-1} , where the multiplication is taken as tensor contraction over all indices, is a n tuple whose i -th component is

$$\sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2i_3\dots i_m} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m}.$$

Definition 2.1. Let A be a nonzero hypermatrix. A pair $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is called eigenvalue and eigenvector (or simply an eigenpair) if they satisfy the following equation

$$Ax^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}.$$

Here, $x^{[m]}$ is a vector with i -th entry x_i^m . We call (λ, x) is a H -eigenpair (i.e., λ and x are called H -eigenvalue and H -eigenvector, respectively) if they are both real.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a nonzero hypermatrix. A pair $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is called an E -eigenpair (where λ and x are called E -eigenvalue and E -eigenvector, respectively) if they satisfy the following equations

$$Ax^{m-1} = \lambda x,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 1.$$

We call (λ, x) a Z -eigenpair if both of them are real.

From the above definitions it is clear that, a constant multiplication of an eigenvector is also an eigenvector corresponding to a H -eigenvalue, but, this is not always true for E -eigenvalue and Z -eigenvalue. Now, we recall some results that are used in the next section.

Theorem 2.1 ([15]). *The eigenvalues of A lie in the union of n disks in \mathbb{C} . These n disks have the diagonal elements of the supersymmetric tensor as their centres, and the sums of the absolute values of the off-diagonal elements as their radii.*

The above theorem helps us to bound the eigenvalues of a tensor.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be an m order and n dimensional tensor and $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a positive diagonal matrix. Define a new tensor*

$$B = A.D^{-(m-1)}.\overbrace{D \dots D}^{m-1}$$

with the entries

$$B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m d_{i_1}^{-(m-1)} d_{i_2} \dots d_{i_m}}.$$

Then A and B have the same H -eigenvalues.

Proof. From the remarks of lemma 3.2 in [21]. □

Some results of spectral graph theory¹ are also hold for general hyper-graphs. If λ is any eigenvalue of an adjacency matrix of a graph G with the maximal degree Δ then $\lambda \leq \Delta$. For a k -regular graph k is the maximum eigenvalue with a constant eigenvector of the adjacency matrix of that graph. If λ and μ are the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrices represent the graphs G and H , respectively, then $\lambda + \mu$ is also an eigenvalue of the same for $G \square H$, the cartesian product of G and H . All the eigenvalues of a Laplacian matrix of a graph are nonnegative and a very rough upper bound of these eigenvalues is 2Δ , whereas, the eigenvalue of a normalised Laplacian matrix of a graph lies in the interval $[0, 2]$. Zero is always an eigenvalue for both, Laplacian and normalised Laplacian matrices, of a graph, with a constant eigenvector. If \mathbb{A} and \mathcal{L} are the normalised adjacency matrix and normalised Laplacian matrix, respectively, of a graph (such that $\mathcal{L} = 1 - \mathbb{A}$) then the spectrum of \mathbb{A} , $\sigma(\mathbb{A}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathcal{L})$. If M be any connectivity matrix of a graph with r connected components then $\sigma(M) = \sigma(M_1) \cup \sigma(M_2) \dots \cup \sigma(M_r)$, where M_i is the same connectivity matrix corresponding to the component i .

¹For different spectral properties of a graph see [2, 6]

3 Spectral properties of general hypergraphs

Definition 3.1. A (general) hypergraph G is a pair $G = (V, E)$ where V is a set of elements called vertices, and E is a set of non-empty subsets of V called edges. Therefore, E is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, where $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is the power set of V .

Example 3.1. Let $G = (V, E)$, where $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $E = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 4, 5\}\}$. Here, G is a hypergraph of 5 vertices and 3 edges.

3.1 Adjacency hypermatrix and eigenvalues

Definition 3.2. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$. Let $m = \max\{|e_i| : e_i \in E\}$ be the maximum cardinality of edges, $m.c.e(G)$, of G . Define the adjacency hypermatrix of G as

$$\mathcal{A}_G = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}), \quad 1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \leq n.$$

For all edges $e = \{v_{l_1}, v_{l_2}, \dots, v_{l_s}\} \in E$ of cardinality $s \leq m$,

$$a_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m} = \frac{s}{\alpha}, \quad \text{where } \alpha = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s \geq 1, \sum k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_s!},$$

and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m are chosen in all possible way from $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ with at least once for each element of the set. The other positions of the hypermatrix are zero.

Example 3.2. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph in example 3.1. Here, the maximum cardinality of edges is 3. The adjacency hypermatrix of G is $\mathcal{A}_G = (a_{i_1 i_2 i_3})$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq 5$. Here, $a_{111} = 1, a_{233} = a_{232} = a_{223} = a_{323} = a_{332} = a_{322} = \frac{1}{3}, a_{145} = a_{154} = a_{451} = a_{415} = a_{541} = a_{514} = \frac{1}{2}$, and the other elements of \mathcal{A}_G are zero.

Definition 3.3. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph. The degree, $d(v)$, of a vertex $v \in V$ is the number of edges consist of v .

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph, where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$. Then, the degree of a vertex v_i is given by

$$d(v_i) = \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 i_3 \dots i_m}.$$

Definition 3.4. A hypergraph is called k -regular if every vertex has the same degree k .

Now, we discuss some spectral properties of \mathcal{A}_G of a hypergraph G . Some of these properties are very similar as in general graph (i.e. for a 2-uniform hypergraph).

Theorem 3.1. Let μ be a H -eigenvalue of \mathcal{A}_G . Then $|\mu| \leq \Delta$, where Δ is the maximum degree of G .

Proof. Let G be a hypergraph of order m and dimension n . Let μ be a H -eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}_G = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ with an eigenvector $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Let $x_p = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$. Without loss of any generality we can assume that $x_p = 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu| &= |\mu x_p^{m-1}| = \left| \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{p i_2 i_3 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m=1}^n |a_{p i_2 i_3 \dots i_m}| |x_p|^{m-1} = d(v_p) \leq \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, for a k -regular hypergraph the theorem (3.1) implies $|\mu| \leq k$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a k -regular hypergraph with n vertices. Then, $\mathcal{A}_G = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ has a H -eigenvalue k .

Proof. Since, G is k -regular, then $d(v_i) = k$ for all $v_i \in V$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Now, for a vector $x = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}_G x^{m-1} = \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 i_3 \dots i_m} = k.$$

Thus the proof. □

Theorem 3.3. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a k -regular hypergraph with n vertices. Then, $\mathcal{A}_G = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ has a Z -eigenvalue $k(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})^{m-2}$.

Proof. The vector $x = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the Z -eigenvalue equations for $\lambda = k(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})^{m-2}$. □

Theorem 3.4. Let G be a hypergraph with n vertices and maximum degree Δ . Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a Z -eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}_G = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ corresponding to an eigenvalue μ . If $x_p = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$, then $|\mu| \leq \frac{\Delta}{x_p}$.

Proof. The Z -eigenvalue equations of \mathcal{A}_G for μ and x are $Ax^{m-1} = \mu x$, and $\sum x_i^2 = 1$. Therefore, $|x_i| \leq 1$, for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Now,

$$|\mu||x_j| = \left| \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{j i_2 i_3 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m} \right|,$$

which implies $|\mu||x_j| \leq d(j) \leq \Delta, \forall j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Therefore, $|\mu| \leq \frac{\Delta}{x_p}$. \square

Definition 3.5. A hypergraph $H = (V_1, E_1)$ is said to be a spanning subhypergraph of a hypergraph $G = (V, E)$, if $V = V_1$ and $E_1 \subseteq E$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $G = (V, E)$ be hypergraph. Let $H = (V', E')$ be a subhypergraph of G , such that, $m.c.e(G) = m.c.e(H)$ be even. Then, $\mu_{\max}(H) \leq \mu_{\max}(G)$, where μ_{\max} is the highest Z -eigenvalue of the corresponding adjacency hypermatrix.

Proof. Let $|V| = n$, $|V'| = n' (\leq n)$ and $m.c.e(G) = m.c.e(H) = m$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\max}(H) &= \max_{\|x\|_m=1} x^t \mathcal{A}_H x^{m-1} \text{ (by using lemma (3.1) in [12])} \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_m=1} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^{n'} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^H x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m} \right) \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_m=1} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^H x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m} \right), \text{ where } a_{i_1 \dots i_m}^H = x_{i_r} = 0 \text{ when } i_r > n' \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^G x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m} \right) \\ &\leq \mu_{\max}(G), \end{aligned}$$

since the each components of x are nonnegative (by Perron-Frobenious theorem [3]) and the number of edges of G is greater than or equal to the number edges of H . Hence the proof. \square

Definition 3.6. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph with $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$, and $m.c.e(G) = m$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a vector

in \mathbb{R}^n and $p \geq s - 1$ be an integer. For an edge $e = \{v_{l_1}, v_{l_2}, \dots, v_{l_s}\}$ and a vertex v_{l_i} , we define

$$x_p^{e/v_{l_i}} := \sum x_{r_1} x_{r_2} \dots x_{r_p},$$

where the sum is over r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p are chosen in all possible way from $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$, such that, all $l_j (j \neq i)$ occur at least once. Where as,

$$x_p^e := \sum x_{r_1} x_{r_2} \dots x_{r_p},$$

where the sum is over r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p are chosen in all possible way from $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ with at least once for each element of the set.

The symmetric (adjacency) hypermatrix \mathcal{A}_G of order m and dimension n uniquely defines a homogeneous polynomial of degree m and in n variables by

$$F_{\mathcal{A}_G}(x) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m}.$$

We rewrite the above polynomial as:

$$F_{\mathcal{A}_G}(x) = \sum_{e \in E} a_e^G x_m^e,$$

where $a_e^G = \frac{s}{\alpha}$, $\alpha = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s \geq 1, \sum k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_s!}$, and s is the cardinality of the edge e .

Definition 3.7. Let G and H be two hypergraphs. The cartesian product, $G \times H$, of G and H is defined by the vertex set $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ and the edge set $E(G \times H) = \{\{v\} \times e : v \in V(G), e \in E(H)\} \cup \{e \times \{v\} : e \in E(G), v \in V(H)\}$.

Definition 3.8. Let G be a hypergraph with the vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $m.c.e(G) = m$. For an edge $e = \{v_{l_1}, v_{l_2}, \dots, v_{l_s}\}$ and integer $r \geq m$ the arrangement, $(v_{p_1} v_{p_2} \dots v_{p_r})$ (where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r are chosen in all possible way from $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ with at least once for each element of the set) represents the edge e in order r .

Example 3.3. Let $G = (V, E)$ where $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $E = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}\}$, then the arrangement (12233) represents the edge $\{1, 2, 3\}$ in order 5. (12123) is also a representation of the edge $\{1, 2, 3\}$ in order five, whereas, (111123) represents the edge $\{1, 2, 3\}$ in 6 order.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph with $m.c.e(G) = m$ and $E_i = \{e \in E : v_i \in e\}$. Now, the H -eigenvalue equation for \mathcal{A}_G becomes

$$\sum_{e \in E_i} a_e^G x_{m-1}^{e/v_i} = \lambda x_i^{(m-1)}, \text{ for all } i.$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let G and H be two hypergraphs with $m.c.e(G) = m.c.e(H)$. If λ and μ are H -eigenvalue for G and H , respectively, then $\lambda + \mu$ is a H -eigenvalue for $G \times H$.*

Proof. Let n_1 and n_2 be the number of vertices in G and H , respectively, and $m.c.e(G) = m.c.e(H) = m$. Let (λ, \mathbf{u}) and (μ, \mathbf{v}) be H -eigenpairs of \mathcal{A}_G and \mathcal{A}_H , respectively. Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 n_2}$ be a vector with the entries indexed by the pairs $(a, b) \in [n_1] \times [n_2]$, such that, $w(a, b) = u(a)v(b)$. Now, we show that $(\lambda + \mu, \mathbf{w})$ is an H -eigenpair of $\mathcal{A}_{G \times H}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E_{(a,b)}} a_e^{G \times H} w_{m-1}^{e/(a,b)} &= \sum_{\substack{\{a\} \times e \in E_{(a,b)} \\ \text{with } e \in E_b}} a_e^{G \times H} w_{m-1}^{\{a\} \times e/(a,b)} + \sum_{\substack{e \times \{b\} \in E_{(a,b)} \\ \text{with } e \in E_a}} a_e^{G \times H} w_{m-1}^{e \times \{b\}/(a,b)} \\ &= \sum_{e \in H_b} a_e^{G \times H} u^{m-1}(a) v_{m-1}^{e/b} + \sum_{e \in G_a} a_e^{G \times H} u_{m-1}^{e/a} v^{m-1}(b) \\ &= u^{m-1}(a) \sum_{e \in H_b} a_e^H v_{m-1}^{e/b} + v^{m-1}(b) \sum_{e \in E_a} a_e^G u_{m-1}^{e/a} \\ &= u^{m-1}(a) \mu v^{m-1}(b) + v^{m-1}(b) \lambda u^{m-1}(a) \\ &= (\lambda + \mu) w^{m-1}(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof². □

Lemma 3.1. *Let A and B be two symmetric hypermatrix of order m and dimension n , where m is even. Then $\lambda_{\max}(A + B) \leq \lambda_{\max}(A) + \lambda_{\max}(B)$, where $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ denotes the largest Z -eigenvalue of A .*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\max}(A + B) &= \max_{\|x\|_m=1} x^t (A + B) x^{m-1} \text{ (by using lemma (3.1) in [12])} \\ &\leq \max_{\|x\|_m=1} x^t A x^{m-1} + \max_{\|x\|_m=1} x^t B x^{m-1} \\ &= \lambda_{\max}(A) + \lambda_{\max}(B). \end{aligned}$$

□

²For the similar proof on uniform hypergraph see [7].

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph with the vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $m = \max\{|e_i| : e_i \in E\}$. We partition the edge set E as, $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_m$, where E_i contains all the edges of the cardinality i and construct a hypergraph $G_i = (V, E_i)$, for a nonempty E_i .

Definition 3.9. Define the adjacency hypermatrix of G_i in m ($> i$) -order by a n dimensional m order hypermatrix

$$\mathcal{A}_{G_i}^m = ((a_{G_i}^m)_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}), \quad 1 \leq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \leq n,$$

such that, for any $e = \{v_{l_1}, v_{l_2}, \dots, v_{l_i}\} \in E_i$,

$$(a_{G_i}^m)_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m} = \frac{i}{\alpha}, \quad \text{where } \alpha = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_i \geq 1, \sum k_j = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_i!}$$

and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m are chosen in all possible way from $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i\}$ with at least once for each element of the set. The other positions of $\mathcal{A}_{G_i}^m$ are zero.

Thus, we can represent a hypergraph G , with $m.c.e(G) = s$, in higher order $m > s$ by the hypermatrix \mathcal{A}_G^m . Clearly, all the eigenvalue equations show that the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_G^{m_1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_G^{m_2}$ are not equal for $m_1 \neq m_2$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph and $m.c.e(G) = m$ be even. Then $\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{G_i}^m)$, where $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ is the largest Z -eigenvalue of A .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}_G = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{A}_{G_i}^m$, the proof follows from the lemma (3.1). \square

Moreover, the theorem (3.7) implies $\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_i^m)$, where n_i is the number of edges of cardinality i and \mathcal{A}_i^m is the adjacency hypermatrix in m -order of a hypergraph contains a single edge of cardinality i .

3.2 Laplacian hypermatrix and eigenvalues

Definition 3.10. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a (general) hypergraph without any isolated vertex where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$. Let $m.c.e(G) = m$. Define the Laplacian hypermatrix, L_G , of $G = (V, E)$ as $L_G = D_G - \mathcal{A}_G = (l_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ where $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \leq n$, where $D_G = (d_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ is the m order n dimensional diagonal hypermatrix with $d_{ii \dots i} = d(v_i)$ and others are zero. The signless laplacian of G is defined as $L_G = D_G + \mathcal{A}_G$.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph with $m.c.e(G) = m$. For any edge $e = \{v_{l_1}, v_{l_2}, \dots, v_{l_s}\}$, we define a homogeneous polynomial of degree m and in n variables by

$$L(e)x^m = \sum_{j=1}^s x_{i_j}^m - \frac{s}{\alpha} x_m^e \quad (s \leq m).$$

Proposition 3.1. $\sum_{j=1}^s x_{i_j}^m \geq \frac{s}{\alpha} x_m^e \quad (x_{i_j} \in \mathbb{R}_+).$

Proof. x_m^e is the sum of all possible terms, $x_{i_1}^{k_1} x_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots x_{i_s}^{k_s}$ (where $\sum k_i = m$ and $k_i \geq 1$)

$$\text{where } \alpha = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s \geq 1, \sum k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_s!},$$

with some natural coefficient. Now, by applying A.M. and G.M. inequality on $k_1 x_{i_1}^m, k_2 x_{i_2}^m, \dots, k_s x_{i_s}^m$ we get

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^s k_j x_{i_j}^m \geq x_{i_1}^{k_1} x_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots x_{i_s}^{k_s}. \quad (1)$$

If we apply (1) for each term of x_m^e and take the sum, we get

$$\frac{\alpha}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s x_{i_j}^m \geq x_m^e.$$

□

Many properties of Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensor are discussed in [16]. Now we show that some of the results are also true for non-uniform (general) hypergraph.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph. Let L be the Laplacian hypermatrix of G . Then $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\Delta$, where λ is an H -eigenvalue of L .*

Proof.

The proof directly follows from the theorem 6 in [15].

□

Theorem 3.9. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph with $m.c.e(G) \geq 3$. Let L be the Laplacian hypermatrix of G . Then*

- (i) L has an H -eigenvalue 0 with eigenvector $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an Z -eigenvalue 0 with the same eigenvector. Moreover, 0 is the unique H^{++} eigenvalue of L .
- (ii) $(d(i), e^{(j)})$ is an H -eigenpair, where $e^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $e_i^{(j)} = 1$ if $i = j$, otherwise 0.
- (iii) For a nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(d(v_i), x)$ is an eigenpair if $\sum_{e \in E_i} a_G^e x_{m-1}^{e/i} = 0$.

Proof. (i) The proof follows from the theorem 3.2 in [16] using the proposition 3.1.

(ii) Proof is obvious.

(iii) It is clear from the eigenvalue equation. □

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph. The *analytic connectivity*, $\alpha(G)$, of G is defined as $\alpha(G) = \min_{j=1, \dots, n} \min \{Lx^m | x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m = 1, x_j = 0\}$.

Theorem 3.10. *The general hypergraph $G = (V, E)$ is connected if and only if $\alpha(G) > 0$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proposition 8.1 in [16] □

Theorem 3.11. *If $m.c.e(G) \geq 3$, then the largest H^+ eigenvalue of the Laplacian hypermatrix of G is Δ .*

Proof. The proof follows from the theorem 5.1 in [16]. □

3.3 Normalised Laplacian hypermatrix and eigenvalues

Now we define normalised Laplacian hypermatrix for a general hypergraph. For a graph there are two ways to construct normalised Laplacian matrix (see [1] and [6] for details)³. Motivated by these two similar constructions, here, we also define the normalised Laplacian hypermatrix in two different ways and show that they are cospectral. The first definition is similar to the normalised Laplacian matrix defined in [1].

³These two matrices are similar, i.e., they have the same eigenvalues.

Definition 3.11. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph without any isolated vertex where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$. Let $m.c.e(G) = m$. The normalised Laplacian hypermatrix $\mathcal{L} = (l_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$, which is a n -dimensional m -th order hypermatrix, is defined as: for any edge $e = \{v_{l_1}, v_{l_2}, \dots, v_{l_s}\} \in E$ of cardinality $s \leq m$,

$$l_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m} = -\frac{s/\alpha}{d(v_{p_1})}, \text{ where } \alpha = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s \geq 1, \sum k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_s!},$$

and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m are chosen in all possible way from $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$, such that, all l_j occur at least once. All the diagonal entries are 1 and the rest are zero.

Clearly, the hypermatrix $A = \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{L}$, which is known as normalised adjacency hypermatrix, is a stochastic tensor, that is, A is non-negative and $\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = 1$, where $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}$ is the (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) -th entry of A . The different properties of a stochastic tensor are discussed in [22] and which can be used to study the hypermatrices A and \mathcal{L} . Now, we define the normalised Laplacian hypermatrix of a general hypergraph as it is defined for a graph in [6].

Definition 3.12. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph without any isolated vertex, where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$. Let $m.c.e(G) = m$. The normalised Laplacian hypermatrix $\mathfrak{L} = (l_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$, which is a n -dimension m -th order symmetric hypermatrix, is defined as: for any edge $e = \{v_{l_1}, v_{l_2}, \dots, v_{l_s}\} \in E$ of cardinality $s \leq m$,

$$l_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m} = -\frac{s}{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{d(v_{p_j})}}, \text{ where } \alpha = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s \geq 1, \sum k_i = m} \frac{m!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_s!},$$

and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m are chosen in all possible way from $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ with at least once for each element of the set. The diagonal entries of \mathfrak{L} are 1 and the rest of the positions are zero.

Theorem 3.12. \mathcal{L} and \mathfrak{L} are co-spectral.

Proof. In the lemma 2.1 choose a diagonal matrix $D = (d_{ij})_{n \times n}$ where $d_{ii} = (d(v_i))^{1/m}$. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph. Let \mathcal{L} , A be the normalised Laplacian and normalised adjacency hypermatrices of G , respectively. If G has at least one edge, then $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ if and only if $(1 - \lambda) \in \sigma(\mathcal{L})$, otherwise, $\sigma(A) = \sigma(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, where $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ denotes the spectrum of \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. Since, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{I} - A$ and λ is the eigenvalue of A iff $\det(A - \lambda\mathcal{I}) = 0$, thus, $\det(\mathcal{L} - (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{I}) = 0$ implies $(1 - \lambda) \in \sigma(\mathcal{L})$. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph. Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{A} be the normalised Laplacian and normalised adjacency of G , respectively, then*

1. $\rho(A) = 1$,
2. $0 \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L}) \leq 2$,
3. 0 is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} with the eigenvector $\{1, 1, \dots, 1\}$
4. 0 is the unique H^{++} eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} .

Proof. 1. The proof is similar to the proof of lemma 3.2 in [8].

2. Using $\rho(A) = 1$ in theorem 3.13 gives our desired result.

3. Proof is trivial.

4. The proof is similar to the proof of corollary 3.2 (i) in [8]. \square

Theorem 3.15. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph and $m.c.e(G) = m$. Let L be the normalised Laplacian hypermatrix of G of order m and dimension n . Let $m(\lambda)$ be the algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{L})$, then $\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(L)} m(\lambda)\lambda = n(m - 1)^{n-1}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proposition 3.1 in [8]. \square

Theorem 3.16. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a general hypergraph and A be the normalised adjacency hypermatrix of G . If G has $r \geq 1$ connected components, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_r , such that, $|V(G_i)| > 1$ and $m.c.e(G_i) = m.c.e(G)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Then, as sets, $\sigma(A) = \sigma(A_1) \cup \sigma(A_2) \cup \dots \cup \sigma(A_r)$, where A_i is the normalised adjacency hypermatrix of G_i .*

Proof. The proof directly follows from the corollary 3.2 of [19]. \square

4 Discussion and conclusion

Here, we propose a mathematical framework to construct connectivity matrices for a general hypergraph and also study the eigenvalues of adjacency hypermatrix, Laplacian hypermatrix, normalised Laplacian hypermatrix. This connectivity hypermatrix reconstruction can be used for further development of spectral hypergraph theory in many aspects, but, this may not be quite useful to study dynamics on hypergraphs.

Acknowledgements

The authors thankful to Mithun Mukherjee and Swarnendu Datta for the fruitful discussion. Financial support from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India is sincerely acknowledged by Bibhash Mondal.

References

- [1] A. Banerjee, J. Jost. On the spectrum of the normalized graph Laplacian. *Linear Algebra and its applications*, 428:3015-3022, 2008.
- [2] R.B. Bapat. *Graphs and Matrices*. Springer, 2010.
- [3] K.C. Chang, K. Pearson, T. Zhang. Perrone Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 6(2): 507-520, 2008.
- [4] K.C. Chang, K. Pearson, T. Zhang. On eigenvalue problems of real symmetric tensors. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 350:416-422, 2009.
- [5] F.R. Chung. *The Laplacian of a hypergraph*. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 1993.
- [6] F.R. Chung. *Spectral graph theory*. American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [7] J. Cooper, A. Dutle. Spectra of uniform hypergraphs. *Linear Algebra and its applications*, 436:3268-3292, 2012.

- [8] S. Hu, L. Qi. The Laplacian of a uniform hypergraph. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 29(2):331-366, 2015.
- [9] S. Hu, L. Qi, J-Y Shao. Cored hypergraphs, power hypergraphs and their Laplacian H-eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 439:2980-2998, 2013.
- [10] S. Hu, L. Qi. The eigenvectors associated with the zero eigenvalues of the Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensors of a uniform hypergraph, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 169: 140-151, 2014.
- [11] S. Hu, L. Qi, J. Xie. The largest Laplacian and signless Laplacian H-eigenvalues of a uniform hypergraph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 469:1-27, 2015.
- [12] G.Li, L.Qi, G.Yu. The Z-eigenvalues of a symmetric tensor and its application to spectral hypergraph theory. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 20:1001-1029, 2013.
- [13] M. Ng, L. Qi, G. Zhou. Finding the largest eigenvalue of a nonnegative tensor. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 31(3):1090-1099, 2009.
- [14] K.J. Pearson. Spectral hypergraph theory of the adjacency hypermatrix and matroids. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 465:176-187, 2015.
- [15] L. Qi. Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 40:1302-1324, 2005.
- [16] L. Qi. H^+ eigenvalues of laplacian and signless laplacian tensor. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 12(6):1045-1064, 2014.
- [17] L. Qi, J. Shao, Q. Wang. Regular uniform hypergraphs, s-cycles, s-paths and their largest Laplacian H-eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 443:215-227, 2014.
- [18] J. Shao. A general product of tensors with applications. *Linear Algebra and its applications*, 439:2350-2366, 2013.
- [19] J. Shao, H. Shan, L. Zhang. On some properties of the determinants of tensors. *Linear Algebra and its applications*, 439:3057-3069, 2013.

- [20] V.I. Voloshin. Introduction to Graph and Hypergraph Theory, Nova Science Publishers Inc, 2012.
- [21] Y. Yang, Q. Yang. Further results for perron-frobenious theorem for nonnegative tensors. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 31(5):2517-2530, 2010.
- [22] Y. Yang, Q. Yang. Further results for perron-frobenious theorem for nonnegative tensors II. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 32(4):1236-1250, 2011.